IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

)	
)	4:08CV3197
)	
)	
)	ORDER
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	

Defendant Archer-Daniels-Midlands Company has filed a motion (filings <u>27</u>, <u>29</u>)¹ requesting additional time in which to reply to Plaintiff's Brief in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (<u>filing 25</u>). I shall grant the motion.

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. Defendant Archer-Daniels-Midlands Company's motion (filings <u>27</u>, <u>29</u>) requesting additional time in which to reply to Plaintiff's Brief in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (<u>filing 25</u>) is granted;
- 2. Defendant Archer-Daniels-Midlands Company shall file its responsive

¹ It appears that Defendant Archer-Daniels-Midlands Company filed its motion for enlargement of time with the court on both January 29, 2009 (<u>filing 27</u>) and January 30, 2009 (<u>filing 29</u>). This Order addresses each of these filings.

brief and any evidentiary materials on or before February 6, 2009;

3. The Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Nebraska shall adjust the court's computerized record-keeping system to change the "response due" date accordingly.

February 2, 2009.

BY THE COURT: s/Richard G. Kopf United States District Judge